Decision fold



BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION COMMISSION

2	WILLIAM A. MUNDELI CHAIRMAN
2	CHAIRMAN

OCT 04 2001

3 JIM IRVIN

COMMISSIONER MARC SPITZER

DOCKETED BY

COMMISSIONER

1

6

7

8

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF ALLCOM USA INC. D/B/A ALLCOM OR ALLCOM INTERNATIONAL FOR A CERTIFICATE OF CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY TO PROVIDE COMPETITIVE RESOLD INTRASTATE

TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICES, EXCEPT

DOCKET NO. T-03600A-98-0411

DECISION NO. 64069

ORDER

LOCAL EXCHANGE SERVICE 10

Open Meeting October 2 and 3, 2001 Phoenix, Arizona

BY THE COMMISSION:

Having considered the entire record herein and being fully advised in the premises, the Commission finds, concludes, and orders that:

15

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. On July 22, 1998, AllCom USA Inc. d/b/a AllCom or AllCom International ("AllCom" or "Applicant") filed with the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") an application for a Certificate of Convenience and Necessity ("Certificate") to provide competitive resold interexchange telecommunications services, except local exchange services, within the State of Arizona.
- 2. In Decision No. 58926 (December 22, 1994), the Commission found that resold telecommunications providers ("resellers") were public service corporations subject to the jurisdiction of the Commission.
- Applicant is a corporation domiciled in Nevada, authorized to do business in Arizona 3. since August 2, 2001.
- Applicant is a switchless reseller, which purchases telecommunications services from a variety of carriers.

- 5. On July 5, September 1, and October 3, 2000 and June 20, 2001, Applicant filed Affidavits of Publication indicating compliance with the Commission's notice requirements.
- 6. On July 16, 2001, the Commission's Utilities Division Staff ("Staff") filed its Staff Report recommending approval of the application with some conditions.
- 7. In its Staff Report, Staff stated that AllCom provided financial statements for the period ended June 1, 2001. These financial statements list assets of \$73,428, retained earnings of \$17,372, sales of \$289,492 and a net income of \$55,655. Based on the foregoing, Staff believes that Applicant has inadequate financial resources to be allowed to charge customers any prepayments, advances, or deposits without either establishing an escrow account or posting a surety bond to cover such prepayments, advances, or deposits.
- 8. The Staff Report indicates that AllCom filed a letter stating that it does not currently, and will not in the future, charge its customers any prepayments, advances or deposits. If at some future date, the Applicant wants to charge customers any prepayments, advances or deposits, it must file information with the Commission that demonstrates the Applicant's financial viability. Upon receipt of such filing, Staff will review the information and forward its recommendation to the Commission. Additionally, Staff believes that if the Applicant experiences financial difficulty, there should be minimal impact to its customers. Customers are able to dial another reseller or facilities-based provider to switch to another company.
 - 9. Staff recommended approval of the application subject to the following conditions:
 - (a) The Applicant should be ordered to comply with all Commission rules, orders, and other requirements relevant to the provision of intrastate telecommunications service;
 - (b) The Applicant should be ordered to maintain its accounts and records as required by the Commission;
 - (c) The Applicant should be ordered to file with the Commission all financial and other reports that the Commission may require, and in a form and at such times as the Commission may designate;
 - (d) The Applicant should be ordered to maintain on file with the Commission all current tariffs and rates, and any service standards that the Commission may require;

3

5

7 8

9

11

12

1314

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

2425

26

27

28

Applicant has requested in its tariff. This adjusted total revenue figure could be calculated as the number of units sold for all services offered times the maximum charge per unit;

- 2. The total actual operating expenses for the first twelve months of telecommunications service provided to Arizona customers by the Applicant following certification;
- 3. The value of all assets, listed by major category, including a description of the assets, used for the first twelve months of telecommunications services provided to Arizona customers by the Applicant following certification. Assets are not limited to plant and equipment. Items such as office equipment and office supplies should be included in this list; and
- (c) Applicant's failure to meet the condition to timely file sufficient information for a fair value finding and analysis and recommendation of permanent tariffs shall result in the expiration of the Certificate of Convenience and Necessity and of the tariffs.
- 11. The Staff Report also stated that Applicant has no market power and the reasonableness of its rates would be evaluated in a market with numerous competitors.
- 12. No exceptions were filed to the Staff Report, nor did any party request that a hearing be set.
- 13. On August 29, 2000, the Arizona Court issued its Opinion in <u>US WEST</u> <u>Communications, Inc. v. Arizona Corporation Commission</u>, 1 CA-CV 98-0672, holding that "the Arizona Constitution requires the Commission to determine fair value rate bases for all public service corporations in Arizona prior to setting their rates and charges."
- 14. On October 26, 2000, the Commission filed a Petition for Review to the Supreme Court.
 - 15. On February 16, 2001, the Commission's Petition was granted.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. Applicant is a public service corporation within the meaning of Article XV of the Arizona Constitution and A.R.S. §§ 40-281 and 40-282.
- 2. The Commission has jurisdiction over Applicant and the subject matter of the application.
 - 3. Notice of the application was given in accordance with the law.

2

3

4

5

6

7 8

9 10

12

11

14

13

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

4. Applicant's provision of resold interexchange telecommunications services is in the public interest.

5. Applicant is a fit and proper entity to receive a Certificate for providing competitive resold interexchange telecommunications services in Arizona.

6. Staff's recommendations in Findings of Fact Nos. 9 and 10 are reasonable and should be adopted.

ORDER

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the application of AllCom USA Inc. d/b/a AllCom or AllCom International for a Certificate of Convenience and Necessity for authority to provide competitive resold interexchange telecommunications services, except local exchange services, is hereby granted, except that AllCom USA Inc. d/b/a AllCom or AllCom International shall not be authorized to charge customers any prepayments, advances, or deposits. In the future, if AllCom USA Inc. d/b/a AllCom or AllCom International desires to initiate such charges, it must file information with the Commission that demonstrates AllCom USA Inc. d/b/a AllCom or AllCom International's financial viability. Staff shall review the information provided and file its recommendation concerning the Applicant's financial viability and/or the necessity of obtaining a surety bond within thirty (30) days of receipt of the financial information, for Commission approval.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that AllCom USA Inc. d/b/a AllCom or AllCom International shall comply with Staff's recommendations as set forth in Findings of Fact Nos. 9 and 10.

. . . .

. . . .

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that within 30 days of the effective date of this Decision, 1 2 AllCom USA Inc. d/b/a AllCom or AllCom International shall notify the Compliance Section of the 3 Arizona Corporation Commission of the date that it will begin or has begun providing service to 4 Arizona customers. 5 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Decision shall become effective immediately. 6 BY ORDER OF THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION. 7. 8 CHAIRMAN COMMISSIONER COMMISSIONER 9 10 11 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I, BRIAN C. McNEIL, Executive Secretary of the Arizona Corporation Commission, have 12 hereunto set my hand and caused the official seal of the Commission to be affixed at the Capitol, in the City of Phoenix. 13 this 4th day of October 2001. 14 15 AN C. MENEIL EXECUTIVE SECRETARY 16 17 DISSENT PD:mlj 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

l	
1	SERVICE LIST FOR: ALLCOM USA INC. D/B/A ALLCOM OR ALLCOM INTERNATIONAL
2	DOCKET NO.: T-03600A-98-0411
3	Mike Petrillo
4	President ALLCOM USA INC. D/B/A ALLCOM OR ALLCOM INTERNATIONAL
5	Administration Office 2151 East Convention Center Way, Suite 207-A Ontario, California 91764-4483
6	Christopher Kempley, Chief Counsel
7	Legal Division ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
8	1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007
9	
10	Steve Olea, Director Utilities Division ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
11	1200 West Washington Street
12	Phoenix, Arizona 85007
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	